arXiv:1511.07297v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 23 Nov 2015

# Pentagon chain in external fields

György Kovács, and Zsolt Gulácsi

*Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Debrecen, H-4010 Debrecen, Hungary*

(Dated: August 7, 2015)

## Abstract

We consider a pentagon chain described by a Hubbard type of model considered under periodic boundary conditions. The system i) is placed in an external magnetic field perpendicular to the plane of the cells, and ii) is in a site selective manner under the action of an external electric potential. In these conditions we show in an exact manner that the physical properties of the system can be qualitatively changed. The changes cause first strong modifications of the band structure of the system created by the one-particle part of the Hamiltonian, and second, produce marked changes of the phase diagram. We exemplify this by deducing ferromagnetic ground states in the presence of external fields in two different domains of the parameter space.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Pentagon chains as main representatives of conjugated polymers which have conducting properties have broad application possibilities covering several fields. Starting from the Nobel prize awarded to the subject in 2000, in 15 years several technological applications have emerged, such as energy storage[8], solar cells[10], or sustained drug release[12], which are now considered classical. But besides these, several other application possibilities are present as rechargeable batteries, electrochromic displays, information memory, anti-static materials, anti-corrosives, electrocatalysis, sensors, electromechanical devices, infra-red polarizers[1], bioanalytical sensors[2], or biocontacts[4].

In addition to these, there are several other applications related explicitly to the action of external (magnetic and/or electric) fields on the conducting polymer of pentagon chain type. In order to exemplify, we mention the applications related to pseudocapacitor electrodes[3], fabrication of cell layers creating in vivo electric fields[5], radar or microwave absorbing materials which converts the electromagnetic energy into heat[6], electrods for battery applications[7], artificial muscles based on actuators with large strain and stress induced electrically[9], photothermal agents[11], covering layers for magnetic nanoparticles[13], or transport mediators in liquid electrolites[14].

Contrary to this technological interest, the action of external electric and/or magnetic fields on pentagon chains has not been analyzed et all on microscopic level. The present paper tries to fill up at least partially this gap by reporting a detailed study of the action of external magnetic and electric fields on pentagon chains at microscopic level.

We mention that pentagon chains in the absence of external fields have been intensively analyzed in the last period. Using technical steps presented in details in Ref.[15], the high concentration limit has been described[16,17], and the low concentration limit has been investigated[18–21]. Inspite of the fact that these systems are non-integrable, and strongly correlated[22] where the on-site Coulomb repulsion may even be as large as 10 eV[23], the method used is special and provides exact results[15,24]. The strategy is to transcribe the Hamiltonian in exact terms into a positive semidefinite form $\hat{H} = \hat{O} + C$ where $\hat{O}$ is a positive semidefinite operator while $C$ is a scalar, and in a second step to find the exact ground state by constructing the most general solution of the equation $\hat{O}|\Psi\rangle = 0$. By deducing total particle number ($N$) dependent ground states in this manner, we can find exact result

relating the low lying part of the excitation spectrum as well, e.g. via the N-dependent chemical potential. The technique itself has been successfully used previously in characterizing different non-integrable quantum many-body systems as periodic Anderson model (PAM) in one[25,26], two[27,28], and three[29,30] dimensions, non-integrable chain structures[31], two dimensional effects as stripe or droplet formation[32], delocalization effect of the interaction[33], or even study of the effect of randomness[34].

Using the above presented procedure, in the present paper we show that placing the pentagon chain in external fields, main physical properties of the system can be substantially changed.

The remaining part of the paper is structured as follows: Section II. describes the studied systems in external fields, Section III. presents the system Hamiltonian, Sections IV-V. present the deduced ground states in two different regions of the parameter space, Section VI. containing the summary closes the presentation, while at the end of the paper two Appendices containing mathematical details close the presentation.

## II. THE SYSTEM UNDER CONSIDERATION PLACED IN EXTERNAL MAGNETIC AND ELECTRIC FIELDS

The system under consideration is a pentagon chain taken with periodic boundary conditions and containing $N_c$ unit cells as presented in Fig.1.

### A. The effect of the magnetic field

We consider the chain in an external magnetic field perpendicular to the plain containing the cells. In these conditions each hopping matrix element $t_{i,j} = t_{i\to j}$ will gain a Peierls phase factor $e^{i\phi_{i,j}}$. This means that along the bond $(i,j)$ described at $B = 0$ by $t_{i,j}$, the hopping matrix element for $B \neq 0$ case becomes $e^{\phi_{i,j}} t_{i,j}$. The Peierls phase factor is given by an integral along the bond $(i,j)$ of the form

$$\phi_{i,j} = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} \int_i^j \mathbf{A}\mathbf{dl}, \tag{1}$$

where $\Phi_0 = hc/e$ represents the flux quantum. We must underline that if one moves in positive trigonometric direction an electron starting from the site $\mathbf{i}_1$, around a closed path




FIG. 1. a) The pentagon unit cell of the system under study. The quantities $a_1, a_2, b = 2b_2 + b_1, a = |\mathbf{a}| = b + b'$ characterize the geometrical extension of the pentagon cell. The hopping matrix elements along different bonds are given by $t, t', t", t_f, t_c, t_n, t_p, t_1$, while the arrows on the bonds show the hopping direction for which the Peierls phase factor $\phi_{i_1,i_2}, \mathbf{i}_1 \to \mathbf{i}_2$ has been calculated. One notes that $\mathbf{i}$ is the lattice site where the presented pentagon cell is placed, $\mathbf{a}$ is the unique Bravais vector of the system, and different sites of the unit cell placed at the site $\mathbf{i}$ are denoted by $\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{r}_\alpha$. The sublattice index is $\alpha = 1, 2, ..., 6$, while for mathematical convenience $\mathbf{r}_3 = 0$. b) The on-site one-particle potentials $\epsilon_\alpha$ at different sites of the pentagon, $\alpha = 1, 2, ..., 6$. c) The system of coordinates xOy used during the calculation of the Peierls phase factors $\phi_{i,j}$. The direction of the external magnetic field is presented by $\mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{A}$ shows the direction of the corresponding vector potential $\mathbf{A}$, the unique Bravais vector direction is presented by $\mathbf{a}$, while the direction of the wave vector is shown by $\mathbf{k}$.

C containing the sites $\mathbf{i}_1, \mathbf{i}_2, \mathbf{i}_3, \mathbf{i}_4, ..., \mathbf{i}_n, \mathbf{i}_1$, hence turning back to the starting point $\mathbf{i}_1$, one

obtains

$$\phi_{\mathbf{i}_1,\mathbf{i}_1} = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}[\int_{\mathbf{i}_1}^{\mathbf{i}_2} \mathbf{A}d\mathbf{l} + \int_{\mathbf{i}_2}^{\mathbf{i}_3} \mathbf{A}d\mathbf{l} + \int_{\mathbf{i}_3}^{\mathbf{i}_4} \mathbf{A}d\mathbf{l} + ... + \int_{\mathbf{i}_n}^{\mathbf{i}_1} \mathbf{A}d\mathbf{l}] = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}\oint_C \mathbf{A}d\mathbf{l} = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}\int_S (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})d\mathbf{S}$$
$$= \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}\int_S \mathbf{B}d\mathbf{S} = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}\Phi, \tag{2}$$

where $\Phi$ is the magnetic flux through the surface S enclosed by the path C. In Eq.(2), at the third step the Stoke's theorem, at the fourth step the relation between the magnetic induction $\mathbf{B}$ and the vector potential $\mathbf{A}$, namely $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$, and at the fifth step the definition of the magnetic flux $\Phi = \int_S \mathbf{B}d\mathbf{S}$ has been used. As a consequence of Eq.(2), if one adds the Peierls phase factors $\phi_{\mathbf{i}_1,\mathbf{i}_2}$ corresponding to the bond $b_{\mathbf{i}_1,\mathbf{i}_2} = (\mathbf{i}_1, \mathbf{i}_2)$ along bonds enclosing an arbitrary surface S with a closed path C, one must reobtain the flux $\Phi$ threading the surface S, multiplied by $2\pi/\Phi_0$, namely (see also Fig.2)




FIG. 2. The sum of Peierls phase factors along a closed path enclosing the surface S gives back the flux threading the surface S, see Eq.(3).

$$\phi_{\mathbf{i}_1,\mathbf{i}_2} + \phi_{\mathbf{i}_2,\mathbf{i}_3} + \phi_{\mathbf{i}_3,\mathbf{i}_4} + ... + \phi_{\mathbf{i}_n,\mathbf{i}_1} = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}\Phi \tag{3}$$

The Eq.(3) can be used for checking the calculations providing the Peierls phase factors $\phi_{\mathbf{i}_1,\mathbf{i}_2}$.

The calculation of the Peierls phase factors is performed in details in Appendix A. The obtained (and see (A8), checked) result is

$$\delta = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}B\frac{a_1 b}{4} = \phi_{6,2} = \phi_{2,3}, \quad \delta' = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}B\frac{a_2 b_2}{2} = \phi_{3,4} = \phi_{5,6},$$
$$\delta_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}B\frac{b_1(a_2 - a_1)}{4} = \phi_{4,2} = \phi_{2,5}, \quad \delta_n = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}Ba_2 b_1 = \phi_{4,5},$$
$$0 = \phi_{3,6} = \phi_{6,7} = \phi_{1,2}. \tag{4}$$

### B. The effect of the electric field

The external electric potential is taken into consideration by producing modifications in the on-site one particle potentials ($\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}}$) in a site selective manner. This means that the same $\Delta\epsilon$ variation appears in the on-site one particle potential in each cell at the same site, i.e. for an arbitrary site $\mathbf{j}$, given by the field, $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}+n\mathbf{a}} + \Delta\epsilon$ appears for each integer $n$, where $\mathbf{a}$ is the unique Bravais vector of the system.

We mention that for the site selective modification of the local on-site one-particle potentials different techniques can be used in practice. In order to exemplify, we mention: one dimensional FETs (field effect transistors) disposed in an array geometry[35,36], directed electric fields applied on short distances[37], charge transfer through site-selective interaction[38], site-selective conjugation into the polymer[39], site selective binding to the polymer[40], site-selective application of potential during bipolar electrolysis[41], or even site selective introduction of functional groups into the polymer[42].

At the level of the description, we simply use the notation $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}}$ for the on-site potentials in the Hamiltonian, keeping in mind that this value, if needed, can be modified in a site selective manner as mentioned above.

## III. THE HAMILTONIAN OF THE SYSTEM IN THE PRESENTED CONDITIONS

### A. The Hamiltonian

Taking into account the Peierls phase factors from Eq.(4), and the presence of the site selective on-site one particle potentials, one has $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{kin,1} + \hat{H}_{kin,2} + \hat{H}_{int}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}_{kin,1} = & \sum_{\sigma}\sum_{i=1}^{N_c}\Big[(te^{i\delta}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r_2},\sigma}\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_6,\sigma} + te^{i\delta}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r_3},\sigma}\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_2,\sigma}) + (t'e^{i\delta'}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r_4},\sigma}\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_3,\sigma} + t"e^{i\delta'}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r_6},\sigma}\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_5,\sigma}) \\
& + (t_1e^{i\delta_1}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r_2},\sigma}\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_4,\sigma} + t_1e^{i\delta_1}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r_5},\sigma}\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_2,\sigma}) + t_ne^{i\delta_n}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r_5},\sigma}\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_4,\sigma} \\
& + (t_c\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r_7},\sigma}\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_6,\sigma} + t_p\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r_6},\sigma}\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} + t_f\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r_2},\sigma}\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_1,\sigma}) + H.c.\Big], \\
\hat{H}_{kin,2} = & \sum_{\sigma}\sum_{i=1}^{N_c}\Big[\epsilon_1\hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_1,\sigma} + \epsilon_2\hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_2,\sigma} + \epsilon_3(\hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_3,\sigma} + \hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_6,\sigma}) + \epsilon_4(\hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_4,\sigma} + \hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_5,\sigma})\Big], \\
\hat{H}_{int} = & \sum_{i=1}^{N_c}\Big[U_1\hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_1,\uparrow}\hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_1,\downarrow} + U_2\hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_2,\uparrow}\hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_2,\downarrow} + U_3(\hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_3,\uparrow}\hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_3,\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_6,\uparrow}\hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_6,\downarrow}) \\
& + U_4(\hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_4,\uparrow}\hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_4,\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_5,\uparrow}\hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_5,\downarrow})\Big]. \qquad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

We append the following observations relating the used Hamiltonian presented above. a) The studied system is in fact a conducting polymer free of magnetic atoms, hence (5) describes itinerant carriers holding the same charge. Hence the inter-carrier interaction is of Coulomb type. b) The studied chain is in fact a many-body itinerant system, hence a strong screening of the Coulomb interaction is present. Because of this reason the longer tails of the Coulomb repulsion are neglected, and the inter-carrier interaction in (5) appears as on-site Coulomb repulsion, whose strength at the site $\mathbf{j}$ is given by $U_{\mathbf{j}}$ for an arbitrary $\mathbf{j} + n\mathbf{a}$, where $n$ is an arbitrary integer (i.e. $U_{\mathbf{j}}$ is fixed for a given type of site in each cell). c) The carriers created by the $\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{j},\sigma}$ canonical Fermi operators can be considered in fact fermionic quasiparticles, so their mobility and effective mass must not coincide with the bare electron mobility and effective mass. In this view, (5) can be considered as an effective Hamiltonian in which even polaronic concepts are in a given extent incorporated. Further electron-phonon interactions are neglected in the starting Hamiltonian. These neglected interactions become important at system half filling, but away from this carrier concentration (i.e. electron or hole doped polymer) their importance is small[15–17].

### B. The Hamiltonian in momentum space

By transforming the $\hat{H}_{kin} = \hat{H}_{kin,1} + \hat{H}_{kin,2}$ expression in $\mathbf{k}$-space we use: i) $\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_n,\sigma} = (1/\sqrt{N_c}) \sum_{\mathbf{k}=1}^{N_c} e^{-i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_n)} \hat{c}_{n,\mathbf{k},\sigma}$, where $n = 1, 2, ..., 6$ is the sublattice index, ii) $(1/N_c) \sum_{\mathbf{i}=1}^{N_c} e^{i(\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}_2)\mathbf{i}} = \delta_{\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}_2}$, one obtains

$$\hat{H}_{kin} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \Big[ t_c(e^{+i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{r}_6)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + e^{-i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{r}_6)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma}) + t_p(e^{+i\mathbf{ka}} \hat{c}^\dagger_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + e^{-i\mathbf{ka}} \hat{c}^\dagger_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma}) +$$
$$+t(e^{i\delta} e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_2-\mathbf{r}_6)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + e^{-i\delta} e^{-i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_2-\mathbf{r}_6)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma}) + t(e^{i\delta} e^{-i\mathbf{kr}_2} \hat{c}^\dagger_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + e^{-i\delta} e^{+i\mathbf{kr}_2} \hat{c}^\dagger_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma})$$
$$+t'(e^{i\delta'} e^{+i\mathbf{kr}_4} \hat{c}^\dagger_{4,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + e^{-i\delta'} e^{-i\mathbf{kr}_4} \hat{c}^\dagger_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{4,\mathbf{k},\sigma}) + t"(e^{i\delta'} e^{+i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_6-\mathbf{r}_5)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{5,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + e^{-i\delta'} e^{-i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_6-\mathbf{r}_5)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{5,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma})$$
$$+t_1(e^{i\delta_1} e^{+i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_2-\mathbf{r}_4)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{4,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + e^{-i\delta_1} e^{-i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_2-\mathbf{r}_4)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{4,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma}) + t_1(e^{i\delta_1} e^{+i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_5-\mathbf{r}_2)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{5,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma}$$
$$+e^{-i\delta_1} e^{-i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_5-\mathbf{r}_2)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{5,\mathbf{k},\sigma}) + t_n(e^{i\delta_n} e^{+i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_5-\mathbf{r}_4)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{5,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{4,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + e^{-i\delta_n} e^{-i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_5-\mathbf{r}_4)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{4,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{5,\mathbf{k},\sigma})$$
$$+t_f(e^{+i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_2-\mathbf{r}_1)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{1,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + e^{-i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_2-\mathbf{r}_1)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{1,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma}) + \epsilon_1 \hat{c}^\dagger_{1,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{1,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + \epsilon_2 \hat{c}^\dagger_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma}$$
$$+\epsilon_3(\hat{c}^\dagger_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + \hat{c}^\dagger_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma}) + \epsilon_4 \hat{c}^\dagger_{4,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{4,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + \epsilon'_4 \hat{c}^\dagger_{5,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{5,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \Big]. \quad (6)$$

Now taking into consideration that $\mathbf{k}$ is directed along the line of the chain (the line connecting the sites $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{a}$, hence $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{a}$ are parallel), and $k = \mathbf{ak} = 2m\pi/N_c$ where $m = 0, 1, 2, ..., N_c - 1$, one has (see Fig.1a):

$$\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{r}_6) = kb', \quad \mathbf{ka} = k, \quad \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_2-\mathbf{r}_6) = -\frac{kb}{2}, \quad \mathbf{kr}_2 = \frac{kb}{2}, \quad \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_5-\mathbf{r}_4) = kb_1, \quad \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_2-\mathbf{r}_1) = 0,$$
$$\mathbf{kr}_4 = kb_2, \quad \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_6-\mathbf{r}_5) = kb_2, \quad \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_2-\mathbf{r}_4) = k(\frac{b}{2} - b_2),$$
$$\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_5-\mathbf{r}_2) = k(b_1 + b_2 - \frac{b}{2}) = k\frac{b_1}{2} = k(\frac{b}{2} - b_2), \quad (7)$$

consequently the $\hat{H}_{kin}$ from (6) becomes

$$\hat{H}_{kin} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \Big[ t_c(e^{+ikb'} \hat{c}^\dagger_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + e^{-ikb'} \hat{c}^\dagger_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma}) + (2t_p \cos k + \epsilon_3) \hat{c}^\dagger_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma}$$
$$+t(e^{i\delta} e^{-i\frac{kb}{2}} \hat{c}^\dagger_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + e^{-i\delta} e^{+i\frac{kb}{2}} \hat{c}^\dagger_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma}) + t(e^{i\delta} e^{-i\frac{kb}{2}} \hat{c}^\dagger_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + e^{-i\delta} e^{+i\frac{kb}{2}} \hat{c}^\dagger_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma})$$
$$+t'(e^{i\delta'} e^{+ikb_2} \hat{c}^\dagger_{4,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + e^{-i\delta'} e^{-ikb_2} \hat{c}^\dagger_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{4,\mathbf{k},\sigma}) + t"(e^{i\delta'} e^{+ikb_2} \hat{c}^\dagger_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{5,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + e^{-i\delta'} e^{-ikb_2} \hat{c}^\dagger_{5,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma})$$
$$+t_1(e^{i\delta_1} e^{+ik(\frac{b}{2}-b_2)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{4,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + e^{-i\delta_1} e^{-ik(\frac{b}{2}-b_2)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{4,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma}) + t_1(e^{i\delta_1} e^{+ik(\frac{b}{2}-b_2)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{5,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma}$$
$$+e^{-i\delta_1} e^{-ik(\frac{b}{2}-b_2)} \hat{c}^\dagger_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{5,\mathbf{k},\sigma}) + t_n(e^{i\delta_n} e^{+ikb_1} \hat{c}^\dagger_{5,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{4,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + e^{-i\delta_n} e^{-ikb_1} \hat{c}^\dagger_{4,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{5,\mathbf{k},\sigma})$$
$$+t_f(\hat{c}^\dagger_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{1,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + \hat{c}^\dagger_{1,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma}) + \epsilon_1 \hat{c}^\dagger_{1,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{1,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + \epsilon_2 \hat{c}^\dagger_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + \epsilon_3 \hat{c}^\dagger_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma}$$
$$+\epsilon_4 \hat{c}^\dagger_{4,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{4,\mathbf{k},\sigma} + \epsilon'_4 \hat{c}^\dagger_{5,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{c}_{5,\mathbf{k},\sigma} \Big]. \quad (8)$$

Introducing the (6×1) column vector $\hat{C}$ composed in order from the components $\hat{c}_{1,\mathbf{k},\sigma}$, $\hat{c}_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma}$, $\hat{c}_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma}$, ..., $\hat{c}_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma}$, whose transposed adjoint provides the $(1 \times 6)$ row vector $\hat{C}^\dagger = (\hat{c}^\dagger_{1,\mathbf{k},\sigma}, \hat{c}^\dagger_{2,\mathbf{k},\sigma}, \hat{c}^\dagger_{3,\mathbf{k},\sigma}, ..., \hat{c}^\dagger_{6,\mathbf{k},\sigma})$, the $\hat{H}_{kin}$ operator can be written as

$$\hat{H}_{kin} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{C}^\dagger \tilde{M} \hat{C}, \tag{9}$$

where the $(6 \times 6)$ matrix $\tilde{M}$ has the form

$$\tilde{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 & t_f & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ t_f & \epsilon_2 & te^{-i\delta}e^{+i\frac{kb}{2}} & t_1 e^{i\delta_1}e^{ik(\frac{b}{2}-b_2)} & t_1 e^{-i\delta_1}e^{-ik(\frac{b}{2}-b_2)} & te^{i\delta}e^{-i\frac{kb}{2}} \\ 0 & te^{i\delta}e^{-i\frac{kb}{2}} & 2t_p\cos k + \epsilon_3 & t'e^{-i\delta'}e^{-ikb_2} & 0 & t_c e^{ikb'} \\ 0 & t_1 e^{-i\delta_1}e^{-ik(\frac{b}{2}-b_2)} & t'e^{i\delta'}e^{+ikb_2} & \epsilon_4 & t_n e^{-i\delta_n}e^{-ikb_1} & 0 \\ 0 & t_1 e^{i\delta_1}e^{ik(\frac{b}{2}-b_2)} & 0 & t_n e^{i\delta_n}e^{+ikb_1} & \epsilon'_4 & t" e^{-i\delta'}e^{-ikb_2} \\ 0 & te^{-i\delta}e^{+i\frac{kb}{2}} & t_c e^{-ikb'} & 0 & t" e^{i\delta'}e^{+ikb_2} & \epsilon_3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{10}$$

### C. The bare band structure

The band structure is obtained from the secular equation of $\tilde{M}$, hence $Det[\tilde{M} - \lambda\tilde{1}] = 0$, where $\tilde{1}$ is the $(6\times6)$ unity matrix, and $\lambda$ provides the eigenvalues. Introducing the notations

$$\begin{aligned} &E_1 = \epsilon_1 - \lambda, \quad E_2 = \epsilon_2 - \lambda, \quad E_3 = \epsilon_3 - \lambda, \quad E'_3 = \epsilon_3 + 2t_p\cos k - \lambda, \\ &E_4 = \epsilon_4 - \lambda, \quad E'_4 = \epsilon_5 - \lambda = \epsilon'_4 - \lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

the secular equation for $\tilde{M}$ gives as eigenvalues the $\lambda$ solutions of the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} &(E_1E_2 - t_f^2)\Big[E'_3(E_3E_4E'_4 - E_4t"^2 - E_3t_n^2) - t'^2(E_3E'_4 - t"^2) - t_c^2(E_4E'_4 - t_n^2) \\ &-2t't"t_nt_c\cos[k + (\delta_n + 2\delta')]\Big] + \Big[E_1E'_4(t_1^2t_c^2 + t^2t'^2) + E_1E_4(t_1^2t_c^2 + t^2t"^2) - E_1E'_3t_1^2(E_3E'_4 - t"^2) \\ &-E_1E'_3t^2(E_4E'_4 - t_n^2) - E_1E_3t_1^2(E_4E'_3 - t'^2) - E_1E_3t^2(E_4E'_4 - t_n^2)\Big] \\ &+2E_1t_ct^2(E_4E'_4 - t_n^2)\cos(k - 2\delta) + 2E_1t^2t't"t_n\cos(2\delta + 2\delta' + \delta_n) + 2E_1t_1^2t_ct't"\cos[k + (2\delta' + 2\delta_1)] \\ &-2E_1t_1t_ct(E'_4t' + E_4t")\cos[k + (\delta_1 + \delta' - \delta)] + +2E_1t_1t_ct_nt(t' + t")\cos[k + (\delta' + \delta_n - \delta - \delta_1)] \\ &-2E_1t_1t_nt(E_3t' + E'_3t")\cos(\delta + \delta' + \delta_n - \delta_1) + 2E_1tt_1[t'(E_3E'_4 - t"^2) + t"(E_4E'_3 - t'^2)]\cos(\delta + \delta' + \delta_1) \\ &+2E_1t_1^2t_n(E_3E'_3 - t_c^2)\cos(\delta_n - 2\delta_1) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

From this equation results that at $t_1 = 0$ the band structure is obtained from the equation

$$(E_1E_2 - t_f^2)\Big[E_3'(E_3E_4E_4' - E_4t"^2 - E_3t_n^2) - t'^2(E_3E_4' - t"^2) - t_c^2(E_4E_4' - t_n^2)$$
$$-2t't"t_nt_c\cos[k + (\delta_n + 2\delta')]\Big] + t^2E_1\Big[E_4't'^2 + E_4t"^2 - E_3'(E_4E_4' - t_n^2) - E_3(E_4E_4' - t_n^2)\Big]$$
$$+2E_1t^2\Big[t_c(E_4E_4' - t_n^2)\cos(k - 2\delta) + t't"t_n\cos(2\delta + 2\delta' + \delta_n)\Big] = 0. \quad (13)$$

Now if $t_p = 0$ and because of this reason $E_3 = E_3'$, furthermore $t" = t'$ and $E_4' = E_4$, equation (13) becomes

$$(E_1E_2 - t_f^2)\Big[E_3E_4(E_3E_4 - 2t'^2) + t'^4 - t_c^2(E_4^2 - t_n^2) - t_n^2E_3^2 - 2t'^2t_nt_c\cos[k + (\delta_n + 2\delta')]\Big]$$
$$+2t^2E_1\Big[E_4t'^2 - E_3(E_4^2 - t_n^2) + t_c(E_4^2 - t_n^2)\cos(k - 2\delta) + t'^2t_n\cos(2\delta + 2\delta' + \delta_n)\Big] = 0. \quad (14)$$

Without external magnetic field, Eq.(14) reads

$$2t_c[-t_nt'^2(E_1E_2 - t_f^2) + t^2E_1(E_4^2 - t_n^2)]\cos k + (E_1E_2 - t_f^2)[(E_3E_4 - t'^2)^2 - t_c^2(E_4 - t_n^2) - t_n^2E_3^2] +$$
$$2t^2E_1[t'^2(E_4 + t_n) - E_3(E_4^2 - t_n^2)] = 0. \quad (15)$$

This last equation, at $t' = t$ and $E_1 = E_2$ gives back the old result known for the pentagon chains[15]

$$2t_ct^2\{E_1(E_4^2 - t_n^2) - t_n(E_1^2 - t_f^2)\}\cos k + (E_1^2 - t_f^2)[(E_3E_4 - t^2)^2 - t_n^2E_3^2 - t_c^2E_4^2 + t_n^2t_c^2] +$$
$$2t^2E_1[E_3(t_n^2 - E_4^2) + t^2(E_4 + t_n)] = 0. \quad (16)$$

The study of (12), or the comparison between e.g. (15) and (14) shows that the external fields have a substantial effect even at the level of the bare band structure modifying the physical properties of the system. These modifications, besides the effective mass and carrier average velocity changes that they automatically produce, can lead to band flattening effects or modifications of proximities to flat bands (see for importance e.g. Refs.[15–17,43–45]), or level crossing effects (qualitative changes produced by this effects are exemplified e.g. by Refs.[46–52]).

## IV. EXACT GROUND STATES IN THE INTERACTING CASE. I.

The modifications in the bare band structure presented in the previous section lead also to modifications of the phase diagram of the system described by the whole Hamiltonian. This means e.g. that in several region of the parameter space new phases appear. We exemplify

this fact in the following two Sections for the case of magnetic phases. The deduction of the many-body ground states will be made in a non-approximated manner following the technique of the Hamiltonian transformation in positive semidefinite form presented in the introductory part (Sect.I.). For simplicity, only the case of the symmetric cell with nearest neighbor hoppings ($t_p = t_1 = 0$, $\epsilon_5 = \epsilon_4$, $\epsilon_3 = \epsilon_6$, $t' = t$") will be analyzed in details in Sections IV-V.

### A. The decomposition step

We use 5 block operators for decomposition (see Fig.4) for each cell. One has

$$\hat{A}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} = a_2\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_2,\sigma} + a_3\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_3,\sigma} + a_4\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_4,\sigma}, \quad \hat{F}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} = f_1\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_1,\sigma} + f_2\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_2,\sigma},$$
$$\hat{B}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} = b_2\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_2,\sigma} + b_4\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_4,\sigma} + b_5\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_5,\sigma}, \quad \hat{G}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} = g_6\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_6,\sigma} + g_7\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{a},\sigma},$$
$$\hat{D}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} = d_2\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_2,\sigma} + d_5\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_5,\sigma} + d_6\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_6,\sigma}. \tag{17}$$




FIG. 3. The used five block operators. Three (A,B,D) are defined on triangles, while two (F,G) on bonds.

The matching equations providing $\hat{H} = \hat{O} + C$ in the form

$$\hat{H} - \hat{H}_{int} = \sum_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}[\hat{A}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}\hat{A}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} + \hat{B}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}\hat{B}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} + \hat{D}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}\hat{D}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} + \hat{F}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}\hat{F}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} + \hat{G}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}\hat{G}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}] - p\hat{N},$$
$$\hat{O} = \sum_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}[\hat{A}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}\hat{A}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} + \hat{B}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}\hat{B}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} + \hat{D}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}\hat{D}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} + \hat{F}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}\hat{F}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} + \hat{G}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}\hat{G}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}] + \hat{H}_{int},\ C = -p\hat{N}, \tag{18}$$

becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
&te^{i\delta} = a_3^* a_2 = d_2^* d_6, \quad t'e^{i\delta'} = a_4^* a_3 = d_6^* d_5,\\
&t_1 e^{i\delta_1} = a_2^* a_4 + b_2^* b_4 = d_5^* d_2 + b_5^* b_2, \quad t_n e^{i\delta_n} = b_5^* b_4,\\
&t_f = f_2^* f_1, \quad t_c = g_7^* g_6, \quad \epsilon_1 + p = |f_1|^2,\\
&\epsilon_2 + p = |f_2|^2 + |a_2|^2 + |b_2|^2 + |d_2|^2,\\
&\epsilon_3 + p = |a_3|^2 + |g_7|^2 = |d_6|^2 + |g_6|^2,\\
&\epsilon_4 + p = |a_4|^2 + |b_4|^2 = |d_5|^2 + |b_5|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{19}
$$

Solving the matching equations, 8 parameters can be directly expressed

$$
\begin{aligned}
&a_2 = \frac{te^{i\delta}}{a_3^*}, \quad a_4^* = \frac{t'e^{i\delta'}}{a_3}, \quad d_2^* = \frac{te^{i\delta}}{d_6}, \quad d_5 = \frac{t'e^{i\delta'}}{d_6^*},\\
&b_5^* = \frac{t_n e^{i\delta_n}}{b_4}, \quad g_7^* = \frac{t_c}{g_6}, \quad f_1 = \sqrt{\epsilon_1 + p}, \quad f_2 = \frac{t_f}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1 + p}},
\end{aligned} \tag{20}
$$

and the remaining 7 matching equations with unknowns $b_2, b_4, a_3, d_6, g_6, p$ become

$$
\begin{aligned}
&b_2^* b_4 = t_1 e^{i\delta_1} - \frac{tt'e^{-i(\delta+\delta')}}{|a_3|^2}, \quad \frac{b_2}{b_4} = \frac{1}{t_n e^{i\delta_n}}\Big(t_1 e^{i\delta_1} - \frac{tt'e^{-i(\delta+\delta')}}{|d_6|^2}\Big),\\
&\epsilon_2 + p = \frac{t_f^2}{\epsilon_1 + p} + \frac{t^2}{|a_3|^2} + \frac{t^2}{|d_6|^2} + |b_2|^2, \quad \epsilon_1 + p \geq 0,\\
&\epsilon_3 + p = |d_6|^2 + |g_6|^2 = |a_3|^2 + \frac{t_c^2}{|g_6|^2},\\
&\epsilon_4 + p = |b_4|^2 + \frac{t'^2}{|a_3|^2} = \frac{t'^2}{|d_6|^2} + \frac{t_n^2}{|b_4|^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}
$$

Now the first relation from (21) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|b_2|^2 = \frac{1}{t_n e^{i\delta_n}}\Big(t_1 e^{i\delta_1} - \frac{tt'e^{-i(\delta+\delta')}}{|a_3|^2}\Big)\Big(t_1 e^{i\delta_1} - \frac{tt'e^{-i(\delta+\delta')}}{|d_6|^2}\Big),\\
&|b_4|^2 = t_n e^{-i\delta_n} \frac{t_1 e^{i\delta_1} - \frac{tt'e^{-i(\delta+\delta')}}{|a_3|^2}}{t_1 e^{-i\delta_1} - \frac{tt'e^{+i(\delta+\delta')}}{|d_6|^2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}
$$

For the case $t_1 = 0$ the equalities (22) provide

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|b_2|^2 = \frac{t^2 t'^2}{|a_3|^2 |d_6|^2} \frac{1}{t_n e^{i[\delta_n + 2(\delta+\delta')]}},\\
&|b_4|^2 = \frac{|d_6|^2}{|a_3|^2} t_n e^{-i[\delta_n + 2(\delta+\delta')]},
\end{aligned} \tag{23}
$$

from where is seen that $\bar{t}_n = t_n e^{i[\delta_n + 2(\delta+\delta')]} \geq 0$ must hold. This means that at $t_1 = 0$, with $m$ as an arbitrary integer, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &t_n > 0, \quad \delta_n + 2(\delta + \delta') = 2m\pi, \\ &t_n < 0, \quad \delta_n + 2(\delta + \delta') = (2m+1)\pi. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

### B. The solution of the matching equations

Based on (21,23) a simple solution of the matching equations is obtained. The starting point in deducing it is the $|a_3| = |d_6|$ relation which is provided by Eqs. (19), see Appendix B. Based on this equality one directly finds $b_4 = \sqrt{\bar{t}_n}, b_5 = t_n e^{-i\delta_n}/\sqrt{\bar{t}_n}$. In this case the last line of (21) is satisfied and provides $|a_3|^2 = t'^2/(\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n) > 0$. The $(\epsilon_3 + p)$ equation gives a condition, namely $t_c^2 = |g_6|^4$, and the $|g_6|$ value as $|g_6|^2 = \epsilon_3 + p - t'^2/(\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n) > 0$. For $|b_2|$ one finds from (23) that $|b_2| = (|tt'|/\sqrt{\bar{t}_n})(1/|a_3|^2)$. The equation of $(\epsilon_2 + p)$ determines the $p$ value. Summarizing the results one has (note that $t_1 = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} &a_3 = \frac{|t'|}{\sqrt{\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n}}, \quad a_2 = \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n}}{|t'|} t e^{i\delta}, \quad a_4 = \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n}}{|t'|} t' e^{-i\delta'}, \\ &d_6 = \frac{|t'|}{\sqrt{\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n}}, \quad d_2 = \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n}}{|t'|} t e^{-i\delta}, \quad d_5 = \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n}}{|t'|} t' e^{i\delta'}, \\ &b_4 = \sqrt{\bar{t}_n}, \quad b_5 = \frac{t_n e^{-i\delta_n}}{\sqrt{\bar{t}_n}}, \quad b_2 = -\frac{t(\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n)}{t'\sqrt{\bar{t}_n}} e^{i(\delta+\delta')}, \\ &g_6 = \sqrt{\frac{(\epsilon_3 + p)(\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n) - t'^2}{(\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n)}}, \quad g_7 = t_c \sqrt{\frac{(\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n)}{(\epsilon_3 + p)(\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n) - t'^2}}, \\ &f_1 = \sqrt{\epsilon_1 + p}, \quad f_2 = \frac{t_f}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1 + p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

The solutions (25) are valid with the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &|t_c| = \epsilon_3 + p - \frac{t'^2}{(\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n)} > 0, \\ &\epsilon_2 + p = \frac{t_f^2}{\epsilon_1 + p} + 2\frac{t^2}{t'^2}(\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n) + \frac{t^2}{\bar{t}_n t'^2}(\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n)^2 > 0, \\ &\bar{t}_n > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

### C. The deduction of the ground state

By solving the matching equations in the previous subsection, the transformation in (18) now becomes explicitly known, so we can start the deduction of the ground state. The deduction procedure is based on constructing the most general $|\Psi_g\rangle$ which satisfies $\hat{O}|\Psi_g\rangle = 0$. The technical steps followed in the presented case are described in extreme details e.g. in Refs.[15,53]. Note that $|\Psi_g\rangle = \prod_\alpha \hat{B}^\dagger_\alpha|0\rangle$ is considered, where $|0\rangle$ is the bare Fock vacuum state with no carriers present, and $\alpha = (\mathbf{i}, \sigma)$ is a condensed index.

#### *1. The possibility of a disconnected solution*

In this subsection we are looking for the possibility of $\hat{B}^\dagger_\alpha$ solutions in $|\Psi_g\rangle$ that do not touch each other, i.e. are defined on disconnected geometrical blocks. This means that $\hat{B}^\dagger_\alpha$ and $\hat{B}^\dagger_{\alpha'}$ for $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ do not have common operators, hence $\{\hat{B}^\dagger_\alpha, \hat{B}^\dagger_{\alpha'}\} = 0$ automatically holds.

For a disconnected solution, the operators from the wave vector have to have the form (see Fig.4a)

$$\hat{B}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} = x_1\hat{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_1,\sigma} + x_2\hat{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_2,\sigma} + x_4\hat{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_4,\sigma} + x_5\hat{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_5,\sigma}. \tag{27}$$

The condition which determines the unknown coefficients $x_1, x_2, x_4, x_5$ is

$$\{\hat{A}_{n,\mathbf{i},\sigma}, \hat{B}^\dagger_{\mathbf{j},\sigma'}\} = 0, \tag{28}$$

which has to be satisfied for all values of all indices. Here $\hat{A}_n$, for $n = 1, 2, ..., 5$ represents all block operators from (17) i.e. $\hat{O}$ from (18) becomes of the form $\hat{O} = \sum_{n=1}^5 \hat{A}^\dagger_{n,\mathbf{i},\sigma}\hat{A}_{n,\mathbf{i},\sigma} + \hat{H}_{int}$. We underline that if (28) is satisfied, it automatically implies $\sum_{n=1}^5 \hat{A}^\dagger_{n,\mathbf{i},\sigma}\hat{A}_{n,\mathbf{i},\sigma}|\Psi_g\rangle = 0$. Starting from (28) one finds

$$\begin{aligned} &x_1 f_1 + x_2 f_2 = 0, \quad x_2 a_2 + x_4 a_4 = 0, \\ &x_2 d_2 + x_5 d_5 = 0, \quad x_2 b_2 + x_4 b_4 + x_5 b_5 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Based on (25), from here one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} &x_1 = -\frac{t_f}{\epsilon_1 + p}x_2, \quad x_4 = -\frac{t}{t'}e^{i(\delta+\delta')}x_2, \quad x_5 = -\frac{t}{t'}e^{-i(\delta+\delta')}x_2, \\ &\Big[-\frac{t(\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n)}{t'\sqrt{t_n}}e^{i(\delta+\delta')} - \frac{t}{t'}\sqrt{\bar{t}_n}e^{i(\delta+\delta')} - \frac{t}{t'}\frac{t_n}{\sqrt{t_n}}e^{-i(\delta+\delta')}e^{-i\delta_n}\Big]x_2 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

which, taking into account the definition of $\bar{t}_n$ leads to $\epsilon_4 + p + \bar{t}_n = 0$. This equality cannot be satisfied since $\epsilon_4 + p > 0$, and $\bar{t}_n > 0$ hold. So disconnected ground state wave vector for this solution does not exist.




FIG. 4. The block operators in the ground state wave vector. a) disconnected case, b) connected case. The dots show the sites that are belong to $\hat{B}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}$ together with the coefficients of the sites.

*2. Connected solution*

Contrary to the disconnected solution, now we are looking for $\hat{B}^\dagger_\alpha$ operators for $|\Psi_g\rangle$ with the property that the $\{\hat{B}^\dagger_\alpha\}$ manifold has $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ components which touch each other at least on one site, i.e. two different $\hat{B}^\dagger_\alpha$ and $\hat{B}^\dagger_{\alpha'}$ for some different $\alpha$ and $\alpha'$ have common creation operators.

The operators of the wave vector in the case of the connected solution (see Fig.4b) have the expression

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{B}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} = {} & x_1\hat{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{r}_1,\sigma} + x_2\hat{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{r}_2,\sigma} + x_4\hat{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{r}_4,\sigma} + x_5\hat{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{r}_5,\sigma} + x_6\hat{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{r}_6,\sigma} \\ & + y_1\hat{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_1,\sigma} + y_2\hat{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_2,\sigma} + y_3\hat{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_3,\sigma} + y_4\hat{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_4,\sigma} + y_5\hat{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_5,\sigma}, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

and the equations for the coefficients, based on (28) become

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1x_1 + f_2x_2 = 0, \quad a_2x_2 + a_4x_4 = 0, \quad b_2x_2 + b_4x_4 + b_5x_5 = 0, \\ & d_2x_2 + d_5x_5 + d_6x_6 = 0, \quad g_6x_6 + g_7y_3 = 0, \quad f_1y_1 + f_2y_2 = 0, \\ & a_2y_2 + a_3y_3 + a_4y_4 = 0, \quad b_2y_2 + b_4y_4 + b_5y_5 = 0, \quad d_2y_2 + d_5y_5 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

The system (32) allows for arbitrary $x_2$ the solution

$$x_1 = -\frac{f_2}{f_1}x_2, \quad x_4 = -\frac{a_2}{a_4}x_2, \quad x_5 = \frac{a_2b_4 - b_2a_4}{a_4b_5}x_2, \quad x_6 = -\frac{d_2b_5a_4 + d_5(a_2b_4 - b_2a_4)}{d_6b_5a_4}x_2, \tag{33}$$
$$y_1 = -\frac{Zf_2}{f_1}x_2, \quad y_5 = -\frac{Zd_2}{d_5}x_2, \quad y_4 = -\frac{b_2d_5 - d_2b_5}{b_4d_5}Zx_2, \quad y_3 = -\frac{a_2b_4d_5 - a_4(b_2d_5 - d_2b_5)}{a_3b_4d_5}Zx_2,$$
$$y_2 = -Zx_2, \quad Z = -\frac{g_6a_3b_4d_5}{g_7d_6a_4b_5}\frac{[d_2a_4b_5 + d_5(a_2b_4 - b_2a_4)]}{[a_2b_4d_5 + a_4(d_2b_5 - b_2d_5)]} = -\frac{\bar{t}_ne^{2i\delta'}}{t_ct_ne^{-i\delta_n}}\frac{[d_2a_4b_5 + d_5(a_2b_4 - b_2a_4)]}{[a_2b_4d_5 + a_4(d_2b_5 - b_2d_5)]}.$$

### D. The deduced ground state

Based on the considerations from the previous subsection, the unnormalized ground state wave vector has the expression

$$|\Psi_g\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{i}=1}^{N\leq N_c} \hat{B}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}}|0\rangle, \tag{34}$$

where the $\hat{B}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma_{\mathbf{i}}}$ operators are given in (31,33), $N_c$ represents the number of cells in the system taken with periodic boundary conditions, and $N$ is the total number of carriers. For $N = N_c$, one has $\sigma_{\mathbf{i}} = \sigma$, hence the spin projection being fixed, the system is ferromagnetic. This is because neighboring operators are "connected", hence the connectivity condition is satisfied, and the unique way to obtain $\hat{H}_{int}|\Psi_g\rangle = 0$ is to drop the double occupancy, hence to have $\sigma_{\mathbf{i}} = \sigma$. The obtained ferromagnetic ground state is localized in the thermodynamic limit.

For $N < N_c$ the ground state is constructed from ferromagnetic clusters whose cluster moment has arbitrary orientation, hence the ground state is paramagnetic. In the thermodynamic limit this state is also localized.

The presented ground states emerge when the conditions (26) are satisfied[54]. As (24) shows, these conditions are dependent on the external magnetic field, and through $\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}}$, also dependent on the site selective electric potential. In particular, for zero external fields, at $t_n < 0$, ferromagnetic solution does not exist[18,21]. Consequently, as (26) shows, in the presented case the external fields are able to double the parameter space region where the ordered phase emerges.

## V. EXACT GROUND STATES IN THE INTERACTING CASE. II.

As explained in details in Ref.[55], in a non-integrable case, usually is not possible to obtain the desired solution for the ground state in a single mathematical expression valid for the whole parameter space. Ground state solutions can be written only in a restricted parameter domain which is fixed by the obtained solution of the matching equations. On its turn, the matching equations are connected to a given decomposition of the Hamiltonian in positive semidefinite form. Consequently, other decompositions provide ground state solutions in other regions of the parameter space.

We explicitly use this observation below by presenting a new decomposition of the same starting Hamiltonian in positive semidefinite form.




FIG. 5. The five block operators used in the new decomposition. Three (A,B,D) are defined on triangles, while two (F,G) on bonds. The arrow shows the position of the D block.

### A. The second decomposition step

We use again 5 block operators for decomposition at $t_p = 0$ for each cell, but now the blocks are constructed as shown in Fig.5. One has in this case

$$\hat{A}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} = a_2\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_2,\sigma} + a_3\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_3,\sigma} + a_6\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_6,\sigma}, \quad \hat{F}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} = f_1\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_1,\sigma} + f_2\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_2,\sigma},$$
$$\hat{B}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} = b_3\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_3,\sigma} + b_4\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_4,\sigma} + b_6\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_6,\sigma}, \quad \hat{G}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} = g_6\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_6,\sigma} + g_7\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{a},\sigma},$$
$$\hat{D}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} = d_4\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_4,\sigma} + d_5\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_5,\sigma} + d_6\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{r}_6,\sigma}. \tag{35}$$

The transformed Hamiltonian again becomes of the form

$$\hat{H}-\hat{H}_{int}=\sum_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}[\hat{A}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}\hat{A}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}+\hat{B}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}\hat{B}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}+\hat{D}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}\hat{D}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}+\hat{F}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}\hat{F}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}+\hat{G}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}\hat{G}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}]-p\hat{N}, \tag{36}$$

but now the matching equations are different (again $\epsilon_5=\epsilon_4, \epsilon_3=\epsilon_6$, $t_p=0$ hold), namely

$$\begin{aligned}
&te^{i\delta}=a_3^*a_2=a_2^*a_6, \quad t'e^{i\delta'}=b_4^*b_3=d_6^*d_5,\\
&t_{6,3}=t_p=a_6^*a_3+b_6^*b_3=0, \quad t_{6,4}=b_6^*b_4+d_6^*d_4=0, \quad t_ne^{i\delta_n}=d_5^*d_4,\\
&t_f=f_2^*f_1, \quad t_c=g_7^*g_6, \quad \epsilon_1+p=|f_1|^2, \quad \epsilon_2+p=|f_2|^2+|a_2|^2,\\
&\epsilon_3+p=|a_3|^2+|g_7|^2+|b_3|^2=|a_6|^2+|b_6|^2+|d_6|^2+|g_6|^2,\\
&\epsilon_4+p=|b_4|^2+|d_4|^2=|d_5|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Solving the matching equations, 11 parameters can be directly and explicitly expressed

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_2=\sqrt{\epsilon_2+p-\frac{t_f^2}{\epsilon_1+p}}, \quad a_3=\frac{te^{-i\delta}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_2+p-\frac{t_f^2}{\epsilon_1+p}}}, \quad a_6=\frac{te^{+i\delta}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_2+p-\frac{t_f^2}{\epsilon_1+p}}},\\
&b_4=\sqrt{\epsilon_4+p-\frac{t_n^2}{\epsilon_4+p}}, \quad b_3=\frac{t'e^{+i\delta'}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_4+p-\frac{t_n^2}{\epsilon_4+p}}}, \quad b_6=-\frac{t^2}{t'}\frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_4+p-\frac{t_n^2}{\epsilon_4+p}}}{\epsilon_2+p-\frac{t_f^2}{\epsilon_1+p}}e^{+i(2\delta+\delta')},\\
&d_4=\frac{t_ne^{+i\delta_n}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_4+p}}, \quad d_6=\frac{t'e^{-i\delta'}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_4+p}}, \quad d_5=\sqrt{\epsilon_4+p}, \quad f_1=\sqrt{\epsilon_1+p}, \quad f_2=\frac{t_f}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1+p}},
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

and one remains with the following four equations written for the remaining three $(g_6, g_7, p)$ unknown variables

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_7=\sqrt{\epsilon_3+p-|a_3|^2-|b_3|^2}, \quad g_6=\frac{t_c}{g_7},\\
&b_6^*b_4+d_6^*d_4=0, \quad \epsilon_3+p=|a_6|^2+|b_6|^2+|d_6|^2+|g_6|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

The first equality of the second line from (39) gives

$$\frac{\epsilon_4+p-\frac{t_n^2}{\epsilon_4+p}}{\epsilon_2+p-\frac{t_f^2}{\epsilon_1+p}}=\frac{t'^2}{t^2}\frac{\bar{t}_n}{\epsilon_4+p}, \tag{40}$$

which, because it is satisfied only at $\bar{t}_n>0$, provides back the conditions relating the external magnetic field from (24). Furthermore, (40) determines the $p$ value as well. The second equality from (39) provides

$$\epsilon_3+p-\frac{t^2}{\epsilon_2+p-\frac{t_f^2}{\epsilon_1+p}}(1+\frac{\bar{t}_n}{\epsilon_4+p})=\frac{t_c^2}{\epsilon_3+p-\frac{t^2}{\epsilon_2+p-\frac{t_f^2}{\epsilon_1+p}}(1+\frac{\epsilon_4+p}{\bar{t}_n})}+\frac{t'^2}{\epsilon_4+p}, \tag{41}$$

which becomes a conditions for the solution of the matching equation. Together with (40,41), the following inequalities must hold in order to have the presented solution of the matching equations

$$\epsilon_1 + p > 0, \quad (\epsilon_1 + p)(\epsilon_2 + p) > t_f^2, \quad \epsilon_4 + p > |t_n|,$$
$$\epsilon_3 + p > \frac{t^2(\epsilon_1 + p)}{(\epsilon_1 + p)(\epsilon_2 + p) - t_f^2} + \frac{t'^2(\epsilon_4 + p)}{(\epsilon_4 + p)^2 - t_n^2}, \quad \bar{t}_n > 0. \tag{42}$$

The inequalities (42) define the parameter space region where the second deduced ground state solution is valid.

### B. Deduction of the second ground state

The used procedure is the same as applied in Section IV.

#### *1. The possibility of a disconnected solution*

For a possible disconnected solution the $\hat{B}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}$ operator must have again the expression presented in Eq.(27), hence in the presented case, instead of (29) one finds

$$f_1 x_1 + f_2 x_2 = 0, \quad d_4 x_4 + d_5 x_5 = 0, \quad x_2 a_2 = 0, \quad x_4 b_4 = 0, \tag{43}$$

where now the block operator parameters are taken from (38). But (43) allows only the solution $x_1 = x_2 = x_4 = x_5 = 0$, hence disconnected solution does not exist.

#### *2. The connected solution*

In this case the $\hat{B}^\dagger_{\mathbf{i},\sigma}$ operator has again the form presented in (31), while now, because the block operators constructing $\hat{H}$ are different, instead of (32) one obtains

$$f_1 x_1 + f_2 x_2 = 0, \quad a_2 x_2 + a_6 x_6 = 0, \quad b_6 x_6 + b_4 x_4 = 0,$$
$$d_4 x_4 + d_5 x_5 + d_6 x_6 = 0, \quad g_6 x_6 + g_7 y_3 = 0, \quad f_1 y_1 + f_2 y_2 = 0,$$
$$a_2 y_2 + a_3 y_3 = 0, \quad b_3 y_3 + b_4 y_4 = 0, \quad d_4 y_4 + d_5 y_5 = 0, \tag{44}$$

where again the block operator parameters have to be taken from (38) and the first line of (39). The system of equations (44), for an arbitrary $x_6$ presents the non-trivial solution

$$x_1 = \frac{a_6 f_2}{a_2 f_1} x_6, \quad x_2 = -\frac{a_6}{a_2} x_6, \quad x_4 = -\frac{b_6}{b_4} x_6, \quad x_5 = \frac{b_6 d_4 - d_6 b_4}{d_5} x_6,$$
$$y_1 = -\frac{a_3 g_6 f_2}{a_2 g_7 f_1} x_6, \quad y_2 = \frac{a_3 g_6}{a_2 g_7} x_6, \quad y_3 = -\frac{g_6}{g_7} x_6, \quad y_4 = \frac{b_3 g_6}{b_4 g_7} x_6, \quad y_5 = -\frac{b_3 g_6 d_4}{b_4 g_7 d_5} x_6. \quad (45)$$

Consequently, in the here presented case one has again a connected solution of the form (31) whose coefficients are provided by (45).

### C. The deduced ground state

The deduced ground state in this Section is again of the form (34) but is present on a different region of the parameter space specified by (40,41,42). Note that now, as explained above, the expression of $\hat{B}^\dagger$ operators present in (34) is different, only the qualitative form of the ground state remains the same. The physical properties of $|\Psi_g\rangle$ are similar to the physical properties of the ground state deduced in the previous Section. In particular, the ordered phase present in the absence of external fields at $t_n > 0$, is extended also in the $t_n < 0$ region when external magnetic field is present, which practically double the parameter space domain of the ferromagnetic phase. This fact has been demonstrated in two different phase diagram regions in the last two Sections, hence the extension of the ordered phase created by the presence of external fields is considerably higher.

## VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We investigate pentagon chains described by Hubbard type of Hamiltonians in external magnetic and electric fields. The system is in fact a conducting and organic polymer with pentagon cell not containing magnetic atoms at all. The external magnetic field perpendicular to the plane of the cell is taken into account via Peierls phase factors multiplying the hopping terms of the Hamiltonian, while the external electric fields by site selective electric potentials modifying the on-site one-particle potentials. In the presented conditions one shows that the external fields have a substantial effect on the physical properties of the chains. First, this modifies considerably the bare band structure of the system provided by the one-particle part of the Hamiltonian, and second, the emergence domains of condensed

phases are also redrawn. We exemplify this by deducing ferromagnetic ground states in two different regions of the parameter space and show that the parameter space domain where the ordered phase occurs is doubled. Since the studied system is non-integrable and strongly correlated, the deduction process of the multielectronic ground states is non-approximated, and is based on a technique which transforms the Hamiltonian in a positive semidefinite form.

## VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Z. Gulácsi kindly acknowledges financial support provided by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, OTKA-K-100288 (Hungarian Research Funds for Basic Research) and TAMOP 4.2.2/A-11/1/KONV-2012-0036 (co-financed by EU and European Social Fund).

### Appendix A: The calculation of the Peierls phase factors

The calculation of the Peierls phase factors is performed by using Eq.(1). For the vector potential one uses the Landau gauge expression, namely $\mathbf{A} = (A_x = 0, A_y = xB, A_z = 0)$, where $B = |\mathbf{B}|$, and the used system of coordinates $(x0y)$ is presented in Fig.1c. Note that $\mathbf{B} = constant$ holds, and $\mathbf{B}$ is directed along the z-axis perpendicular to the (x0y) plane in Fig.1c.

The calculations provide the following Peierls phase factors:

1) Along the bond $(1 \rightarrow 2)$, because the vectors $\mathbf{A}$ and $d\mathbf{l}$ are orthogonal, one has $\mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = 0$, hence $\phi_{1,2} = 0$ holds.

2) Along the bonds $(3 \rightarrow 6)$, and $(6 \rightarrow 7)$, because of $x = 0$, one has $\mathbf{A} = 0$, hence $\phi_{3,6} = \phi_{6,7} = 0$.

3) Along the bond $(4 \rightarrow 5)$, $A_y = a_2 B$=constant, consequently

$$\int_{i_4}^{i_5} \mathbf{A} d\mathbf{l} = a_2 B \int_{y_4}^{y_5} dy = a_2 b_1 B. \tag{A1}$$

Please note that $y_5 - y_4 = b_1$, see Fig.1a, consequently $\phi_{4,5} = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} a_2 b_1 B = \delta_n$.

4) Along the bond $(3 \rightarrow 4)$, denoting by $\alpha$ the angle between the bond $(3 \rightarrow 4)$ and $0x$ axis $[\cos\alpha = x_4/L, \sin\alpha = y_4/L$, where $L = \sqrt{x_4^2 + y_4^2}$ is the lenght of the bond $(3 \rightarrow 4)]$, taking $A_{3,4} = A\cos(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha) = A\sin\alpha$, $A = |\mathbf{A}|$, as the projection of the vector potential

along the bond $(3 \to 4)$, and denoting by $l_{3,4}$ the $\mathbf{l}$ variable along the bond $(3 \to 4)$, one obtains

$$\int_{i_3}^{i_4} \mathbf{A}d\mathbf{l} = \int_0^L A_{3,4}dl_{3,4} = \int_0^L A\sin\alpha dl_{3,4} = B\sin\alpha\cos\alpha\int_0^L l_{3,4}dl_{3,4} = B\sin\alpha\cos\alpha\,\frac{L^2}{2}$$
$$= \frac{B}{2}x_4y_4 = \frac{a_2b_2B}{2}, \tag{A2}$$

where one had $x_{3,4} = l_{3,4}\cos\alpha$, $A = x_{3,4}B = l_{3,4}B\cos\alpha$, and $x_4 = a_2, y_4 = b_2$, see Fig.1a. Consequently, one obtains $\phi_{3,4} = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}\frac{a_2b_2B}{2} = \delta'$.

5) The same result is obtained along the bond $(5 \to 6)$. Indeed, if $l_{6,5}$, the $\mathbf{l}$ variable along the bond $(6 \to 5)$, is measured from the site 6, taking into account that now $\mathbf{A}d\mathbf{l} < 0$, one has

$$\int_{i_6}^{i_5} \mathbf{A}d\mathbf{l} = -\int_0^L A_{6,5}dl_{6,5} = -\int_0^L A\sin\alpha dl_{6,5} = -B\sin\alpha\cos\alpha\int_0^L l_{6,5}dl_{6,5} = -B\sin\alpha\cos\alpha\,\frac{L^2}{2}$$
$$= -\frac{a_2b_2B}{2}. \tag{A3}$$

This means $\phi_{6,5} = -\delta'$, consequently $\phi_{5,6} = -\phi_{6,5} = \delta'$.

6) Along the bond $(3 \to 2)$, denoting by $\beta$ the angle between the bond $(3 \to 2)$ and 0x axis $[\cos\beta = |x_2|/L', \sin\beta = y_2/L', L' = \sqrt{x_2^2 + y_2^2}]$, taking the $\mathbf{l}$ variable along the bond $(3 \to 2)$ to be $l_{3,2}$ as measured from the origin (site 3), observing that the $\mathbf{A}$ projection to the bond $(3 \to 2)$ is $A_{3,2} = A\cos(\frac{\pi}{2} - \beta) = A\sin\beta$, but now $x_{3,2} = -l_{3,2}\cos\beta$ because we are placed on the negative region of the 0x axis, hence $A = Bx_{3,2} = -Bl_{3,2}\cos\beta$, one has

$$\int_{i_3}^{i_2} \mathbf{A}d\mathbf{l} = \int_0^{L'} A_{3,2}dl_{3,2} = \int_0^{L'} A\sin\beta dl_{3,2} = -B\sin\beta\cos\beta\int_0^{L'} l_{3,2}dl_{3,2} = -B\sin\beta\cos\beta\,\frac{L'^2}{2}$$
$$= -\frac{|x_2|y_2B}{2}. \tag{A4}$$

Consequently, taking into account that $|x_2| = a_1, y_2 = b/2$ where $b = 2b_2 + b_1$, one obtains $\phi_{3,2} = -\frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}\frac{a_1bB}{4} = -\delta$. But since $\phi_{2,3} = -\phi_{3,2}$, we have as result $\phi_{2,3} = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}\frac{a_1bB}{4} = \delta$.

7) Along the bond $(6 \to 2)$ we obtain the result given in $\phi_{2,3}$. This is because now the projection of $\mathbf{A}$ on the bond with the $l_{6,2}$ orientation is negative, i.e. $A_{6,2} = -A\sin\beta$, but $x_{6,2}$ remains $x_{6,2} = -l_{6,2}\cos\beta$. Consequently

$$\int_{i_6}^{i_2} \mathbf{A}d\mathbf{l} = \int_0^{L'} A_{6,2}dl_{6,2} = -\int_0^{L'} A\sin\beta dl_{6,2} = +B\sin\beta\cos\beta\int_0^{L'} l_{6,2}dl_{6,2} = B\sin\beta\cos\beta\,\frac{L'^2}{2}$$
$$= \frac{|x_2|y_2B}{2}. \tag{A5}$$

This means that $\phi_{6,2} = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}\frac{a_1 bB}{4} = \phi_{2,3} = \delta$.

8) Along the bond $(2 \to 5)$ the Peierls factor is obtained as follows. Let introduce the $l$ axis connecting the sites 2 and 5. This axis intersects the translated x axis as shown in Fig. 6. Let us denote by $\gamma$ the angle between the x axis and $l$ axis.




FIG. 6. The $l$ axis along the line connecting the sites 2 and 5. This axis is rotated by the angle $\gamma$ relative to the x axis.

One has $|x_2| = a_1, x_5 = a_2, \cos\gamma = (a_1+a_2)/L_{2,5}, \sin\gamma = b_1/(2L_{2,5}$ (see Fig.1a), where the distance between the sites 2 and 5 is $L_{2,5} = \sqrt{(a_1+a_2)^2 + (b_1/2)^2}$. The connection between the coordinate x and the coordinate $l$ is $x = l\cos\gamma$, hence $l_2 = x_2/\cos\gamma, l_5 = x_5/\cos\gamma$ holds. The projection of the vector potential on the $l$ axis is $A_l = A\sin\gamma$, and because $A = xB = (l\cos\gamma)B$, one has $A_l = Bl\cos\gamma\sin\gamma$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{i_2}^{i_5} \mathbf{A}d\mathbf{l} &= \int_{l_2}^{l_5} A_l dl = B(\sin\gamma\cos\gamma)\int_{l_2}^{l_5} l dl = B(\sin\gamma\cos\gamma)\frac{{l_5}^2 - {l_2}^2}{2} \\ &= \frac{B}{2}\left(\frac{{x_5}^2}{\cos^2\gamma} - \frac{{x_2}^2}{\cos^2\gamma}\right)\sin\gamma\cos\gamma = \frac{B}{2}(x_5^2 - x_2^2)\frac{\sin\gamma}{\cos\gamma} \\ &= \frac{B}{2}(a_2^2 - a_1^2)\frac{b_1}{2(a_1+a_2)} = B\frac{(a_2-a_1)b_1}{4}. \end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

Consequently $\phi_{2,5} = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}\frac{(a_2-a_1)b_1}{4}B = \delta_1$.

9) Along the bond $(4 \to 2)$ one obtains the same result. Indeed, now the integrals must be taken from $l_5 = l_4$ to $l_2$, but $l = -x\cos\gamma$ since the $l$ axis is directed from site 4 to the site 2, hence the two negative signs compensate each other. Consequently $\phi_{4,2} = \delta_1$.

Summarizing the obtained results one has

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}B\frac{a_1 b}{4} = \phi_{6,2} = \phi_{2,3}, \quad \delta' = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}B\frac{a_2 b_2}{2} = \phi_{3,4} = \phi_{5,6}, \\ \delta_1 &= \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}B\frac{b_1(a_2-a_1)}{4} = \phi_{4,2} = \phi_{2,5}, \quad \delta_n = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}Ba_2 b_1 = \phi_{4,5}, \\ 0 &= \phi_{3,6} = \phi_{6,7} = \phi_{1,2}. \end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

Now one check the calculations based on (3). The total surface of the pentagon $S = S_{3,6,2} + S_{3,4,5,6}$ is composed from the surface of the upper triangle $S_{3,6,2} = a_1 b/2 = a_1(2b_2 + b_1)/2$, and the surface of the lower trapezoid $S_{3,4,5,6} = a_2(b + b_1)/2 = a_2(2b_2 + 2b_1)/2$, consequently, one finds $S = (1/2)[a_1(2b_2 + b_1) + a_2(2b_2 + 2b_1)]$. Furthermore the middle triangle $(4, 5, 2)$ enclosed into the pentagon has the surface $S_{4,5,2} = b_1(a_1 + a_2)/2$, hence the two lateral triangles with the same area $(3, 4, 2)$ and $(2, 5, 6)$ have the surface $S_{3,4,2} = S_{2,5,6} = (S - S_{4,5,2})/2 = [b_1 a_2 + 2b_2(a_1 + a_2)]/4$. Now one can check that as required by Eq.(3) one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi_{2,3} + \phi_{3,4} + \phi_{4,5} + \phi_{5,6} + \phi_{6,2} = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} BS, \\
&\phi_{2,3} + \phi_{3,6} + \phi_{6,2} = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} BS_{3,6,2}, \\
&\phi_{3,4} + \phi_{4,5} + \phi_{5,6} + \phi_{6,3} = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} BS_{3,4,5,6}, \\
&\phi_{2,5} + \phi_{5,6} + \phi_{6,2} = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} BS_{2,5,6}, \\
&\phi_{2,3} + \phi_{3,4} + \phi_{4,2} = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} BS_{3,4,2}, \\
&\phi_{4,5} - \phi_{2,5} - \phi_{4,2} = \phi_{4,5} + \phi_{5,2} + \phi_{2,4} = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} BS_{4,5,2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A8}$$

Consequently, the calculated Peierls phase factors are correct.

### Appendix B: The $|a_3| = |d_6|$ condition

From the subsection B.1 it seems at first view that the condition $|a_3| = |d_6|$ is artificially imposed, so provides only a particular solution of (21). This impression is not true. This fact will be demonstrated below. For this reason one concentrates below on the last equation from (21), namely that written for $\epsilon_4 + p$. Indeed, without presumptions, from (22) one has

$$|b_4|^2 = \bar{t}_n \frac{|d_6|^2}{|a_3|^2}. \tag{B1}$$

This introduced in the first equality of $\epsilon_4 + p$ gives

$$|a_3|^2 = \frac{\bar{t}_n |d_6|^2 + t'^2}{\epsilon_4 + p}. \tag{B2}$$

. But the same (B1) introduced in the second equality of $\epsilon_4 + p$ gives

$$|a_3|^2 = \frac{\bar{t}_n}{t_n^2}[(\epsilon_4 + p) - t'^2]. \tag{B3}$$

Now taking $|a_3|^2 = |a_3|^2$ from (B2) and (B3) one obtains (note that $\bar{t}_n^2 = t_n^2$ holds):

$$|d_6| = \frac{|t'|}{\sqrt{\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n}}. \tag{B4}$$

This relation introduced in (B2) provides

$$|a_3| = \frac{|t'|}{\sqrt{\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n}}. \tag{B5}$$

As seem, $|a_3| = |d_6| = \frac{|t'|}{\sqrt{\epsilon_4 + p - \bar{t}_n}}$ is not a simplification of the solution, is the only existing solution of (21).


[1] J. D. Stenger-Smith, Prog. Polym. Sci. **23**, 57 (1998).

[2] A. Ramanaviciusa, A. Ramanavicienea and A. Malinauskasc, Electrochimica Acta **51**, 6025 (2006).

[3] T. Liu, L. Finn, M. Yu, H. Wang, T. Zhai, X. Lu, Y. Tong and Y. Li, Nano Lett. **14**, 2522 (2014).

[4] D. D Ateh, H.A. Navsarin and P. Vadgama, Interface **3**, 741 (2006).

[5] D. Martindale, New Sci. **182**, 38 (2004).

[6] P. Saville, Defence R& D Canada, DRDC Atlantic TM 2005-004, (2005)

[7] C. Wang, W. Zheng, Z. Yue, C. O. Too and G. G. Wallace, Advanced Materials 23, 3580, (2011).

[8] Z. Wang, P. Tammela, P. Zhang, J. Huo, F. Ericson, M. Stromme and L. Nyholm, Nanoscale, 2014,6, 13068-13075

[9] S. Hara, T. Zama, W. Takashima and K. Kaneto, Polymer Journal (2004) 36, 151-161;

[10] S. P. Lim, A. Pandikumar, Y. S. Lim, N. M. Huang and H. N. Lim, Scientific Reports **4**, 5305 (2014).

[11] Q. Wang, J. Wang, G. Lv, F. Wang, X. Zhou, J. Hu and Q. Wang, Journal of Materials Science **49**, 3484 (2014).

[12] D. Samanta, J. L. Meiser and R. N. Zare, Nanoscale. **7**, 9497-504 (2015).

[13] A. Nan, I. Craciunescu and R. Turcu, in *Aspects on Fundaments and Applications of Conducting Polymers*, pg. 159-182, Chapt. 8: Conducting Polypyrrole Shell as a Promis ing Covering for Magnetic Nanoparticles, pg. 159-182, Chapt. 8: INTECH publication, Rijeka- Shanghai, 2010.

[14] Y. Pang, N. Chen, and L. Hong, Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. **635**, pg. C69.1, (2001).

[15] Z. Gulácsi, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. B. **27**, 1330009 (2013).

[16] Z. Gulácsi, A. Kampf, D. Vollhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 266403 (2010).

[17] Z. Gulácsi, Eur. Phys. Jour. B. **87**, 143 (2014).

[18] M. Gulácsi, G. Kovács, and Z. Gulácsi, Phil. Mag. Lett. **94**, 269 (2014).

[19] M. Gulácsi, Gy. Kovács, Zs. Gulácsi, Europhysics Lett. **107**, 57005, (2014).

[20] M. Gulácsi, Gy. Kovács, Zs. Gulácsi, Mod. Phys. Lett. B. **28**, 1450220 (2014).

[21] Z. Guácsi, M. Gulácsi, Aditi Jour. of Math. Phys. **4**, 44 (2013).

[22] G. Brocks, J. van den Brink and A. F. Morpurgo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 146405 (2004)

[23] T. O. Wehling et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 236805 (2011).

[24] Z. Gulácsi, Jour. of Phys. Conf. Ser. **410**, 012011 (2013).

[25] I. Orlik, Z. Gulácsi, Phil. Mag. Lett. **78**, 177 (1998).

[26] Z. Gulácsi, I. Orlik, Jour. of Phys. A. **34**, L359 (2001).

[27] Z. Gulácsi, Phys. Rev. B. **66**, 165109 (2002); Eur. Phys. Jour. B **30**, 295 (2002).

[28] P. Gurin and Z. Gulácsi, Phys. Rev. B. **64**, 045118 (2001).

[29] Z. Gulácsi and D. Vollhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 186401 (2003).

[30] Z. Gulácsi and D. Vollhardt, Phys. Rev. B. **72**, 075130 (2005).

[31] Z. Gulácsi, A. Kampf, D. Vollhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 026404 (2007); Progr. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **176**, 1 (2008).

[32] Z. Gulácsi, M. Gulácsi, Phys. Rev. B. **73**, 014524 (2006).

[33] Z. Gulácsi, Phys. Rev. B. **77**, 245113 (2008).

[34] Z. Gulácsi, Phys. Rev. B. **69**, 054204 (2004).

[35] Jong-in Hahm, Sensors (Basel) **11**, 3327 (2011).

[36] F. Patolsky, G. Zheng, C. M. Lieber, Anal. Chem. **78**, 4260 (2006).

[37] J. C. Bradley1, H. M. Chen, J. Crawford, J. Eckert, K. Ernazarova, T. Kurzeja, M. Lin, M. McGee, W. Nadler and S. G. Stephens, Nature **389**, 268 (1997).

[38] M. Cochet, W. K. Maser, A. M. Benito, M. A. Callejas, M. T. Martinez, J. M. Benoit, J. Schreiber and O. Chauvet, Chem. Commun. **16**, 1450 (2001).

[39] A. P. Esser-Kahn, V. Trang and M. B. Francis, Jour. Am. Chem. Soc. **132**, 13264-9 (2010).

[40] B. McCarthy, J. N. Coleman, S. A. Curran, A. B. Dalton, A. P. Davey, Z. Konya, A. Fonseca, J. B. Nagy and W. J. Blau, Jour. of Mater. Sci. Lett. **19**, 2239 (2000).

[41] N. Shida, Y. Koizumi, H. Nishiyama, I. Tomita and S. Inagi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **54**, 3922 (2015).

[42] Yoshiki Chujo in *Macromolecular Design of Polymeric Materials*, Eds. K. Hatada, T. Kitayama and O. Vogl, Marcel Dekker Inc. USA 1997, Chapt. 18: pg.323-337: Design of Reactive Polymers and their Applications, pg. 333.

[43] O. Derzhko, J. Richter, A. Honecker, and R. Moessner, Phys. Rev. B. **81**, 014421 (2010).

[44] O. Derzhko and J. Richter, Phys. Rev. B. **90**, 045152, (2014);

[45] O. Derzhko, J. Richter and M. Maksymenko, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. B. **29**, 1530007 (2015).

[46] T. Taychatanapat, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Nature Phys. **7**, 621 (2011).

[47] Z. Dutton, K.V.R.M. Murali, W. D. Oliver and T.P. Orlando, Phys. Rev. B. **73**, 104516 (2006)

[48] A. J. Fendrik, M. J. Sanchez, arXiv:cond-mat/9811272

[49] G. Sun, X. Wen, M. Gong, D. W. Zhang, Y. Yu, S. L. Zhu, J. Chen, P. Wu and S. Han, Scientific Reports **5**, 8463 (2015).

[50] M. Assili, S. Haddad, W. Kang, Phys. Rev. B. **91**, 115422 (2015).

[51] D. Jacob, Jour. Phys.: Condens. Matter **27**, 245606 (2015).

[52] K. D. Belashchenko, J. Weerasinghe, Sai Mu and B. S. Pujari, Phys. Rev. B. **91**, 180408 (2015).

[53] Z. Gulácsi, Jour. of Phys. Conf. Ser. **410**, 012011 (2013).

[54] These conditions represent requirements which provide lower dispersionless band created by external fields.

[55] R. Trencsényi and Z. Gulácsi, Phil. Mag. **92**, 4657 (2012).